

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

BANGALORE BENCH

CP.NO.170/00237/2015

IN

OA.NO.231 /2012

DATED THIS THE 12th DAY OF APRIL, 2017

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE HARUN-UL-RASHID, MEMBER(J)

HON'BLE SHRI PK.PRADHAN ,MEMBER(A)

GR.Parthasarathy,  
S/o Late GK.Raja Rao,  
Aged 78 years, retired  
Chief Telecom Supervisor,  
Bangalore Telecom District,  
Residing at No.185/8,New No.51,  
1<sup>st</sup> Floor, Sneha Apartments,  
2nd cross,Devanathachar street,  
Chamarajapet, Bangalore- 560 018.

.....Petitioner

(By Advocate Shri AR.Holla)

Vs.

1.Sri Devendra Chaudhary,  
Secretary,  
Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances and Pensions,  
Department of Pensions & Pensioners' Welfare,  
Loknayak Bhavan, Khan Market,  
New Delhi - 110 003.

2.Sri Rajesh Garg,  
Secretary,  
Ministry of Communications,  
Department of Telecommunications & IT  
Sanchar Bhavan, No.20, Ashoka Road,  
New Delhi 110 001.

3.Sri.A.Gnanasekaran,  
The Controller of Communication Accounts,  
Department of Telecommunications,



1<sup>st</sup> Floor, Amenity Block,  
Palace Road, Bangalore.560 001.

.....Respondents

(By Smt.PK.Praneshwari... Sr.Panel Counsel  
Shri Krishna Dixit,ASG)

ORDER (ORAL)

JUSTICE HARUN-UL-RASHID ..... MEMBER (J):

1. This Tribunal vide order dated 8.3.2013 in OA.Nos.231/2012 and 253/2012 issued final direction to the respondents in the Original Application to refix the pension and disburse the arrears as early as possible, preferably within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt/ production of a copy of this order. The Contempt Petition is filed alleging the willful disobedience of the order of this Tribunal dated 8.3.2013 passed in OA.NO.231/2012 and connected matters by the respondents.

2. When the matter was taken up for consideration learned Standing Counsel for the respondents in the OA brought to our notice the communication dated 10.4.2017 addressed to The Director, Department of Telecommunications, Sanchar Bhavan, New Delhi that the approval is conveyed to implement the orders in toto. In the light of the action taken by the respondents and communicated the same thing by letter dated 10.4.2017, no issues survive in this CP for consideration. Contempt Petition is dismissed.



3. It is made clear that the Petitioner in the CP is at liberty to challenge the order to be passed, if, aggrieved and if, so advised. No order as to costs.



Sd-

MEMBER(A)

Sd-

MEMBER(J)

bk

**CERTIFIED TRUE COPY**

Dated

*[Signature]*  
26/11/2018

SECTION OFFICER  
CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
BANGALORE BENCH, BENGALURU